

1 STEVEN G. KALAR
Federal Public Defender
2 CANDIS MITCHELL
Assistant Federal Public Defender
3 450 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
4 Telephone: (415) 436-7700
Facsimile: (415) 436-7706
5 candis_mitchell@fd.org

6 Counsel for Defendant Jose Escalera Aguilera

7
8
9 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

12 United States of America,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 Jose Escalera Aguilera,

16 Defendant.
17

No. CR-12-156-RS

Stipulation and ~~Proposed~~ Order
Continuing November 27, 2012, Hearing
Date to December 11, 2012

18
19
20 STIPULATION

21 This Court previously ordered Mr. Aguilera evaluated due to concerns regarding his
22 competency and ability to assist his counsel with preparation for defense of his case. At the present
23 date, counsel for both the government and defense have yet to receive the results of such evaluation.
24 The parties thus stipulate to a continuance so that the parties can receive and review the results of
25 the evaluation.

26 The parties also agree that the time between November 27, 2012, and December 11, 2012,
27 (or some date thereafter convenient to the Court) should be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act;
28 the continuance is necessary for effective preparation of counsel, 18 U.S.C. 3161(h)(7)(A) and

1 (h)(7)(B)(iv) and the ends of justice served by granting such a continuance outweigh the best
2 interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).
3 SO STIPULATED.
4

5 Dated: November 20, 2012

MELINDA HAAG
United States Attorney

7
8 /s/ Mark Kang
J. MARK KANG
Special Assistant United States Attorney
9

10 Dated: November 20, 2012

/s/ Candis Mitchell
CANDIS MITCHELL
Attorney for Defendant
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 [PROPOSED] ORDER

2 For the reasons stated above, the Court continues the status hearing date from November
3 21, 2012, to December 11, 2012.

4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the time between November 21, 2012, and December 11,
5 2012, should be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act; the continuance is necessary for effective
6 preparation of counsel, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (h)(7)(B)(iv), and the ends of justice served
7 by granting such a continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a
8 speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

9
10 SO ORDERED.

11
12
13
14 DATED: 11/20/12

15 
16 THE HONORABLE RICHARD SEEBORG
17 United States District Judge
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28